

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/718,526	11/21/2000	Gary W. Tripp	1770-13-3	2538
25315	7590 12/08/2004		EXAMINER	
BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM, PLLC			VU, VIET DUY	
701 FIFTH A	VENUE T	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 4800 SEATTLE, W	VA 98104		2154	
<i>5</i>			DATE MAIL ED. 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/718,526	TRIPP ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Viet Vu						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		2154 orrespondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Au	iaust 2004.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19 and 21-45</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-19 and 21-45</u> is/are rejected.							
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r .						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a)	-(d) or (f)					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau		•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

Application/Control Number: 09/718,526

Art Unit: 2154

Art Rejections:

- 1. The text of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) not cited here can be found in the previous office action.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4-11, 16-19 and 21-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Burgress</u> et al, US pat. No. 6,049,804.

Per claims 1-2 and 4-6, <u>Burgress</u> discloses a database system comprising:

- a) a self-contained key-ordered list of data objects (see col 3, lines 49-54),
- b) a plurality of memories in a plurality of storage devices, each memory for storing a segment of data objects wherein each segment consists of a contiguous subset of the objects having keys with a specified range (see col 3, line 55 - col 4, line 18),
- c) a query processor receives a query and, based on the content of the query, directs the query to one or more servers by comparing the data content of the query to the specified range of keys for each segment (see col 4, lines 39-67 and col 6, lines 33-48).

Burgress does not explicitly teach storing segments in a plurality of servers. An official notice is taken that a storage

Application/Control Number: 09/718,526

Art Unit: 2154

device is usually resided within and managed by a storage server.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize any conventional storage devices such as storage servers in <u>Burgress</u> because they would have enabled parallel processing of data storage/retrieval services (see col 6, lines 33-48).

Per claim 7, <u>Burgress</u> also teaches loading or updating the databases (<u>see col 6, lines 49-61</u>).

Per claim 8, it is noted that <u>Burgress</u>' system is capable of handling multiple queries from the same or different requesters (see col 6, lines 33-48).

Per claims 9-11, it is also noted that <u>Burgress'</u> object includes at least a type, a value and a reference to a location of an associated object (see col 3, lines 49-62).

Claims 16-19 and 21-37 are similar in scope as that of claims 1-2 and 4-11.

3. Claims 12-15 and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over <u>Burgress</u> and further in view of <u>Rekieta</u> et al, U.S. pat. No. 5,890,156.

Burgress does not teach storing and maintaining multiple copies of a data segment. The use of redundant database segments

for enabling concurrent process of database records, i.e., concurrent read/write of records, is well known in the art as disclosed by Rekieta (see Rekieta's col 2, lines 31-17).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify <u>Burgress</u> with <u>Rekieta</u>'s teachings in because it would have enabled further concurrent processing of database storages.

Response to Amendment:

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 8/5/04 are most in view of new ground of rejection set forth above.

Conclusion:

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Viet Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-3977. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 8:00am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Follansbee, can be reached on 571-272-3964.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9600.

Luspa

VIET D. VU PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit 2154 12/6/04